(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CH.GARH).

WRIT PETITION No. 67/2000

PETITIONERS:

1. Smt. Rajani Katela wife of B.L.Katela, aged about 54 yrs; resident of civil Lines, Raipur (Chhattisgarh);

2. Praveen Kumar Katela son of B.L. Katela aged about 28 years; resident of Civil Lines, Raipur; (Chhattisgarh);

Versus

RESPONDENTS:

1. Union of India, through Secretary, Telecommunication Department, NEW DELHI.

2. General Manager, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Telephone Exchange Building, G.E. Road, Raipur (Chhattisgarh);

3. Commercial Officer, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Jai Istambha Chowk, Raipur (Chhattisgarh);

4. Divisional Engineer, Telephones, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Telephone Exchange Building, G.E. Road, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTS.226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

1. Particulars of the petitioners:

As detailed above in cause title.

2. Particulars of the Respondents:

As detailed above in the cause title.

3. ..2.

XI-HC-22

(54)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 67/2001 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	25/06/2003 Shri Bhaskar Payashi, counsel for the petitioners. Shri U.N.S.Deo, Advocate appears on behalf of Shri A.S. Geharwar, Sr. Central Govt. Standing Counsel for Union of India/respondent no.1. None for respondents no.2,3 and 4 though served. This petition has been filed for quashing the order/ communication of disconnection Annexures P/3 & P/4 dated 20/11/2000 whereby the telephone connections bearing no. 429595 and 233617 of the petitioners were disconnected. Further prayer is for a direction for restoration of the said connections. It is the contention of the petitioner that since the telephone connections have been disconnected without any notice or without according to the petitioners, any opportunity of hearing, the orders are void and liable to be set aside. During the course of arguments, it is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners that as in the meantime the petitioners have obtained a telephone connection from another	

[पीछे देखिये

(60)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Network, they do not press this writ petition with regard to the quashing of the order and restoration of the telephone connections. However, they confine their prayer for a refund of the security deposit which they had deposited. It is further submitted that as the disconnection was not on account of non-payment of dues and there should be no impediment on the part of the respondents in refunding the deposit.

Having heard learned counsel, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents no.2, 3 and 4 to consider and refund the security amount to the petitioners as per rules if the petitioners move a suitable application for refund thereof. It is further directed that the respondents shall decide the application within a period of eight weeks from the date on which it is submitted to the Appropriate Authority.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P.No.12/2000 also stand disposed of.

Sd/-
P.C.Naik
Judge

[पीछे देखिये